UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/760,018 | 01/20/2004 | Chuck Olson | | 8504 |
| | 7590 05/14/200 mes, Attorney-at-Law | EXAMINER | | |
| 2656 South Loc | op West, Ste. 170 | | BROWN, MICHAEL A | |
| Houston, TX 77 | 7034 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3772 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/14/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/760,018 | OLSON, CHUCK | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| MICHAEL BROWN | 3772 | | |

| | WICHAEL BROWN | 3112 | |
|--|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire latexaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07) | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI | on. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | e appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | • | ΓE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ottod olamno. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | (| , . |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | , | , | J |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-27.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 013. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Michael Brown/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 3772 | |
| | ary Examinor, Art o | 5 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation pertaining to the semi-spherical shape "extending beyond an outer peripheral edge of the thumb support member forming an" apex point, is newly presented in claim 1.